

HUMAN CREMATORY



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI) RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ARMS COMPLAINT NO:				
AIRS ID#: 0951289 DATE: <u>10/20/2010</u> ARRIVE: <u>1:45 PM</u> DEPART:	<u>3:30 PM</u>			
FACILITY NAME: A COMMUNITY FUNERAL HOME & SUNSET CREMATIONS				
FACILITY LOCATION: 910 W MICHIGAN ST				
ORLANDO 32805-5404				
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: CHRISTOPHER HORA PHONE: (407)841-442 Email: orlandofuneral@aol.com Mobile: PHONE: CONTACT NAME: PHONE: Mobile: Email: Nobile: Nobile: ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 11/17/2006 / 11/17/2011 (effective date) (end date) Image: Content in the second s	24			
Facility Section PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check I only one box) IN COMPLIANCE MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE				
PART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETING 1. Name(s) of facility representative(s): Scott Hora Brief Notes:	(check 🗹 only one box for each question)			
 Is the Authorized Representative still CHRISTOPHER HORA? If no, who is?: 	YesNo			
If different, did the facility provide an administrative update within 30 days? 3. Is the facility contact still ? If no, who is?:				
4. Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during today's inspection? If yes, was the compliance authority notified at least 15 days in advance?	- XesNo XesNo			

Emissions Unit Section

PART I: <u>FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION</u>	(check ☑ box for each	only one question)
 a. Complete AC application or, if no AC permit, initial GP registration received on or after August 30, 1989? b. If yes, were design calculations provided then to confirm a sufficient volume in the 	🛛 Yes	No
 secondary chamber combustion zone to provide for at least a 1.0 second gas residence time at 1800 degrees Fahrenheit? 2. Crematory unit installed after February 1, 2007?	⊠ Yes □ Yes	□No ⊠No
 4. Past Visible Emissions (VE) tests: a. Was a VE test performed within each of the past 4 calendar years?		□No ⊠No □No
e. Was the VE test report filed with the compliance authority no later than 45 days after the test?f. Did the facility demonstrate compliance during the last VE test?If no, what was the problem (if known)?		No No

PA	ART II: <u>VISIBLE EMISSIONS TESTING</u>	(check ☑ box for each	only one question)
1.	Was a visible emissions test conducted by the facility for this unit during this site visit?	🛛 Yes	□No □No □No
	 c. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of 0 % for the highest six minute average. d. Did the visible emission test demonstrate compliance with the limit?		No
2.	Was a visible emissions test conducted by the inspector during this site visit?	🛛 Yes	□No □No □No
3.	d. Did the visible emission test demonstrate compliance with the limit?		□No
	If yes, what reason?	_	

PA	RT III: MONITORING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS	(check ☑ box for each	2
1.	Were there any objectionable odors detected?	Yes	⊠No
l	An upwind/downwind survey of the facility was conducted. The observed parameters were:		
1	Downwind odor level detected- Wind direction - Upwind odor level detected-	(1-10)	
a	Continuous Monitoring Systems – Is a continuous temperature monitoring system installed on each unit to record temperatures in the secondary chamber in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions?	⊠ Yes ⊠ Yes	□No □No

PART III: MONITORING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS (continued)

c.	Are the following records kept on file, available for inspection, for at least the past two years?		
	1) All temperature measurements	🛛 Yes	No
	 all continuous monitoring systems, monitoring devices, and performance testing measurements; monitoring system all continuous performance evaluations	 X Yes X Yes X Yes X Yes X Yes X Yes 	No No No No No No
d.	Are the temperature charts properly documented with operator name, operator indication of		
	when cremation in the primary chamber was begun, date, time, and temperature markings	🛛 Yes	No
e.	Was the crematory unit installed after $2/1/07$? If no, skip e.(1) – (3)	Yes	🖾No
	(1) Is the crematory unit equipped and operated with a pollutant monitoring system to automatica	<u> </u>	—
	control combustion based on continuous in-stack opacity measurement?	Yes	LNo
	(2) Is the system calibrated to restrict combustion in the primary chamber whenever any opacity $1 + 15\%$		
	exceeds 15% opacity ?	Yes	No
	(3) Has the opacity measurement system been cleaned and checked for proper operation in accordance with the manufacturer's recommended maintenance schedule?	Yes	No

PART IV: SECONDARY COMBUSTION ZONE TEMPERATURES

(check \square only one box for each question)

1.	If the application to construct was <u>BEFORE</u> August 30, 1989 is the: a. actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1400°F throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber? Yes b. secondary chamber combustion zone temperature equal to or greater than 1400°F before the cremation	No
2	process begins in the primary chamber?	LNo
2.	If the application to construct <u>ON</u> or <u>AFTER</u> August 30, 1989 is the:	
	a. the actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than $1600^{\circ}F$	
	throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber? Xes	No
	b. secondary chamber combustion zone temperature equal to or greater than 1600°F before the cremation	
	process begins in the primary chamber? Yes	No

PA	ART V: <u>ALLOWED MATERIALS</u>	(check 🗹 box for each	•
1.	<i>Other than</i> human or fetal remains with appropriate containers or clothing, are any materials, including biomedical wastes, incinerated in the unit?	Yes	XNo
2.	Do cremation containers contain no more than 0.5 % (percent) by weight chlorinated plastics as certified by the manufacturer?		⊠No □No

PART VI: <u>EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE</u>	(check ☑ box for each	
1. Is the crematory unit maintained in accordance with the manufacturer's specifications?	- 🛛 Yes	No
 Is there a written plan onsite which addresses the operating procedures during startup, shutdown and malfunction?		□No □No
 a. Was the flame characteristic visually checked at least once during each operating shift? b. Was the flame adjusted when necessary? 		□No □No

PART VII: <u>EU INSPECTION</u>	COMPLIANCE STATUS (check	\checkmark only one box)
IN COMPLIANCE	MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE	SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE

Facility Section (continued)

SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES	(check ☑ box for each	only one question)
Administrative Changes:		
 Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representati associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions unit operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility? If yes, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change? 	s or	⊠No □No
New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:		
 3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been	 Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes 	 ∴No ∴No ∴No ∴No ∴No ∴No

Bill Rhodes

Inspector's Name (Please Print)

10/20/2010

Date of Inspection

10/20/2011

Inspector's Signature

Approximate Date of Next Inspection

COMMENTS: Bill Rhodes met with Scott Hora, Funeral Director of A Community Funeral Home & Sunset Cremations, as well as Mr. Bill Arlington, the consultant, representing Arlington Environmental Services, Inc. A records review and a VE compliance test were conducted on this date. The facility uses a Matthews IEE Power Pak II human cremation unit, which is approximately 4-years old, and is equipped with an opacity monitor. The unit was charged with a 180 pound male body. The temperature was verified to be 1746 degrees F from the M-Pyre digital panel read-out. The Partlow MRC-5000 strip chart recorder had a temperature of 1750 degrees F. Scott Hora performs the daily, weekly, and monthly maintenance on the cremation unit. Records are kept on file and were available for review. A 1-hour VE was performed with an observed opacity of 0%. It should be noted that on September 22nd, 2010, a Fluke meter check was performed by Ilka Bundy & Bill Rhodes with OCEPD with acceptable results.

The thermocouple, Pyre digital read-out meter, and the strip chart were all within 20 degrees F. At the time of the site insepction, the facility appears to be within their permit requirements.